



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,789	10/29/2003	Douglas F. Reynolds	8285/640	1140
75	90 08/02/2004		EXAM	INER
JOHN G. RAUCH			FOSTER, ROLAND G	
Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione NBC Tower, Suite 3600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
455 N. Cityfront Plaza Drive Chicago, IL 60611			2645	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
;		10/697,789	REYNOLDS, DOUGLAS F.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
, s	<i>x</i>	Roland G. Foster	2645			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 October 2003.					
,—	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 3-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3,4 and 9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5-8 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) 🗵 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/er No(s)/Mail Date 01/29/04.	T 107	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/697,789

Art Unit: 2645

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,488,650 to Greco et al. (hereinafter "Greco"). For example, see the abstract, Figs. 1 and 4, and col. 3, lines 54-63.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greco as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,701,366 B1 to Kallas et al. (hereinafter "Kallas").

Greco clearly discloses provisioning an IVR system via a telephonic interface comprising creating a custom personal IVR service node, setting a greeting, outgoing messages, password, sets of conditions and actions, and associating condition with action (cols. 7-10).

Best Available Copy

Application/Control Number: 10/697,789

Art Unit: 2645

Greco fails to disclose, but Kallas teaches of providing telephonic access to an IVR system by using VoIP technology (abstract, Fig. 8, and cols. 3-4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add VoIP telephonic access as taught by the IVR system of Kallas to the telephonic IVR provisioning interface as disclosed by Greco.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to increase the accessibility and reliability of voice communication (Kallas, col. 1, lines 8-34) such as those voice communications that would have occurred during the telephonic, IVR provisioning session disclosed by Greco.

Allowable Subject Matter

<u>Claims 5-8</u> are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Examiner's Reasons for Indicating Allowable Subject Matter

<u>Claim 5</u> is directed to a detailed method of making a personal IVR service node that includes several specific steps such as specifying addressees of the recorded message, routing messages, and conducting statistical analysis of the personal IVR service node usage.

Best Available Copy

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/697,789

Art Unit: 2645

The closest prior art of record is the combination of Greco in view of Kallas (as applied above), which still fails to disclose the recited and specific steps discussed above (specifying addressees of the recorded message, routing messages, and conducting statistical analysis of the personal IVR service node usage).

The remaining prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest the obviousness of substantially modifying the combination of Greco in view of Kallas in order to arrive at the invention as claimed in detail by the application.

The above reasons for allowance are based on the claims as presently set forth in their totality. The above reasons for allowance should not be interpreted as indicating that amended claims broadly reciting certain limitations discussed in the above reasons for allowance would be allowable. A more detailed reasons for allowance may be set forth in a subsequent Notice of Allowance if and when all claims in the application are put into a condition for allowance.

Art Unit: 2645

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roland Foster whose telephone number is (703) 305-1491. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan S. Tsang, can be reached on (703) 305-4895. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9309.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to customer service whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Roland G. Foster

Primary Patent Examiner

July 26, 2004